

THOMAS-FERMI THEORY – Sometimes called the ‘statistical theory’, it was invented by L. H. Thomas[TH] and E. Fermi[EF], shortly after Schrödinger invented his quantum-mechanical wave equation, in order to approximately describe the *electron density*, $\rho(x)$, $x \in \mathbf{R}^3$, and the *ground state energy*, $E(N)$ for a large atom or molecule with a large number, N , of electrons. Schrödinger’s equation, which would give the exact density and energy, cannot be easily handled when N is large.

A starting point for the theory is the *TF energy functional*. For a molecule with K nuclei of charges $Z_i > 0$ and locations $R_i \in \mathbf{R}^3$ ($i = 1, \dots, K$), it is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(\rho) &:= \frac{3}{5}\gamma \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} \rho(x)^{5/3} dx - \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} V(x)\rho(x) dx \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} \frac{\rho(x)\rho(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy + U \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

in suitable units. Here,

$$\begin{aligned} V(x) &= \sum_{j=1}^K Z_j |x - r_j|^{-1}, \\ U &= \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq K} Z_i Z_j |R_i - R_j|^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

and $\gamma = (3\pi^2)^{2/3}$. The constraint on ρ is $\rho(x) \geq 0$ and $\int_{\mathbf{R}^3} \rho = N$. The functional $\rho \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(\rho)$ is convex.

The justification for this functional is this:

- The first term is roughly the minimum quantum-mechanical kinetic energy of N electrons needed to produce an electron density ρ .
- The second term is the attractive interaction of the N electrons with the K nuclei, via the *Coulomb potential* V .
- The third is approximately the electron-electron repulsive energy.
- U is the nuclear-nuclear repulsion and is an important constant.

The *TF energy* is defined to be

$$E^{\text{TF}}(N) = \inf\{\mathcal{E}(\rho) : \rho \in L^{5/3}, \int \rho = N, \rho \geq 0\},$$

i.e., the TF energy and density is obtained by minimizing $\mathcal{E}(\rho)$ with $\rho \in L^{5/3}(\mathbf{R}^3)$ and $\int \rho = N$. The *Euler-Lagrange equation*, called the *Thomas-Fermi equation*, is

$$\gamma\rho(x)^{2/3} = [\Phi(x) - \mu]_+, \quad (2)$$

where $[a]_+ = \max\{0, a\}$, μ is some constant (*Lagrange multiplier*) and Φ is the *TF potential*:

$$\Phi(x) = V(x) - \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} |x-y|^{-1} \rho(y) dy. \quad (3)$$

The following essential mathematical facts about the TF equation were established by E.H. Lieb and B. Simon [LS] (*cf.* the review article [EL]).

1. There is a density ρ_N^{TF} that minimizes $\mathcal{E}(\rho)$ if and only if $N \leq Z := \sum_{j=1}^K Z_j$. This ρ_N^{TF} is unique and it satisfies the TF equation (2) for some $\mu \geq 0$. Every positive solution, ρ , of (2) is a minimizer of (1) for $N = \int \rho$. If $N > Z$ then $E^{\text{TF}}(N) = E^{\text{TF}}(Z)$ and any minimizing sequence converges weakly in $L^{5/3}(\mathbf{R}^3)$ to ρ_Z^{TF} .
2. $\Phi(x) \geq 0$ for all x . (This need not be so for the real Schrödinger ρ .)
3. $\mu = \mu(N)$ is a strictly monotonically decreasing function of N and $\mu(Z) = 0$ (the *neutral case*). μ is the *chemical potential*, namely

$$\mu(N) = -\frac{\partial E^{\text{TF}}(N)}{\partial N}.$$

$E^{\text{TF}}(N)$ is a strictly convex, decreasing function of N for $N \leq Z$ and $E^{\text{TF}}(N) = E^{\text{TF}}(Z)$ for $N \geq Z$. If $N < Z$, ρ_N^{TF} has compact support.

When $N = Z$, (2) becomes $\gamma\rho^{2/3} = \Phi$. By applying the Laplacian Δ to both sides we obtain

$$-\Delta\Phi(x) + 4\pi\gamma^{-3/2}\Phi(x)^{3/2} = 4\pi \sum_{j=1}^K Z_j \delta(x - R_j),$$

which is the form in which the TF equation is usually stated (but it is valid only for $N = Z$).

An important property of the solution is *Teller's theorem* [ET] (proved rigorously in [LS]) which implies that the TF molecule is always unstable, i.e., for each $N \leq Z$ there are K numbers $N_j \in (0, Z_j)$ with $\sum_j N_j = N$ such that

$$E^{\text{TF}}(N) > \sum_{j=1}^K E_{\text{atom}}^{\text{TF}}(N_j, Z_j) , \quad (4)$$

where $E_{\text{atom}}^{\text{TF}}(N_j, Z_j)$ is the TF energy with $K = 1$, $Z = Z_j$ and $N = N_j$. The presence of U in (1) is crucial for this result. The inequality is strict. Not only does E^{TF} decrease when the nuclei are pulled infinitely far apart (which is what (4) says) but any dilation of the nuclear coordinates ($R_j \rightarrow \ell R_j, \ell > 1$) will decrease E^{TF} in the neutral case (*positivity of the pressure*) [EL], [BL]. This theorem plays an important role in the *stability of matter*.

An important question concerns the connection between $E^{\text{TF}}(N)$ and $E^{\text{Q}}(N)$, the ground state energy (= infimum of the spectrum) of the Schrödinger operator, H , it was meant to approximate.

$$H = - \sum_{i=1}^N [\Delta_i + V(x_i)] + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} |x_i - x_j|^{-1} + U ,$$

which acts on the antisymmetric functions $\wedge^N L^2(\mathbf{R}^3; \mathbf{C}^2)$ (i.e., functions of space and spin). It used to be believed that E^{TF} is asymptotically exact as $N \rightarrow \infty$ but this is not quite right; $Z \rightarrow \infty$ is also needed. Lieb and Simon [LS] proved that if we fix K and Z_j/Z and we set $R_j = Z^{-1/3} R_j^0$, with fixed $R_j^0 \in \mathbf{R}^3$, and set $N = \lambda Z$, with $0 \leq \lambda < 1$ then

$$\lim_{Z \rightarrow \infty} E^{\text{TF}}(\lambda Z)/E^{\text{Q}}(\lambda Z) = 1 . \quad (5)$$

In particular, a simple change of variables shows that $E_{\text{atom}}^{\text{TF}}(\lambda, Z) = Z^{7/3} E_{\text{atom}}^{\text{TF}}(\lambda, 1)$ and hence the true energy of a large atom is asymptotically proportional to $Z^{7/3}$. Likewise, there is a well-defined sense in which the quantum mechanical density converges to ρ_N^{TF} (cf. [LS]).

The TF density for an atom located at $R = 0$, which is spherically symmetric, scales as

$$\rho_{\text{atom}}^{\text{TF}}(x; N = \lambda Z, Z) = Z^2 \rho_{\text{atom}}^{\text{TF}}(Z^{1/3}x; N = \lambda, Z = 1) .$$

Thus, a large atom (i.e., large Z) is *smaller* than a $Z = 1$ atom by a factor $Z^{-1/3}$ in radius. Despite this seeming paradox, TF theory gives the correct electron density in a real atom — so far as the bulk of the electrons is concerned — as $Z \rightarrow \infty$

Another important fact is the large $|x|$ asymptotics of $\rho_{\text{atom}}^{\text{TF}}$ for a neutral atom. As $|x| \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\rho_{\text{atom}}^{\text{TF}}(x, N = Z, Z) \sim \gamma^3 (3/\pi)^3 |x|^{-6} ,$$

independent of Z . Again, this behavior agrees with quantum mechanics — on a length scale $Z^{-1/3}$, which is where the bulk of the electrons are to be found.

In light of the limit theorem (5), Teller's theorem can be understood as saying that as $Z \rightarrow \infty$ the quantum mechanical binding energy of a molecule is of lower order in Z than the total ground state energy. Thus, Teller's theorem is not a defect of TF theory (although it is sometimes interpreted that way) but an important statement about the true quantum mechanical situation.

For finite Z one can show, using the Lieb-Thirring inequality [LT] and the Lieb-Oxford inequality [LO], that $E^{\text{TF}}(N)$, with a modified γ , gives a lower bound to $E^{\text{Q}}(N)$.

Several 'improvements' to Thomas-Fermi theory have been proposed, but none have a fundamental significance in the sense of being 'exact' in the $Z \rightarrow \infty$ limit. The von Weizsäcker correction consists in adding a term

$$(\text{const.}) \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} |\nabla \sqrt{\rho(x)}|^2 dx$$

to $\mathcal{E}(\rho)$. This preserves the convexity of $\mathcal{E}(\rho)$ and adds $(\text{const.})Z^2$ to $E^{\text{TF}}(N)$ when Z is large. It also has the effect that the range of N for which there is a minimizing ρ is extended from $[0, Z]$ to $[0, Z + (\text{const.})K]$.

Another correction, the *Dirac exchange energy*, is to add

$$-(\text{const.}) \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} \rho(x)^{4/3} dx$$

to $\mathcal{E}(\rho)$. This spoils the convexity but not the range $[0, Z]$ for which a minimizing ρ exists cf. [LS] for both of these corrections.

When a uniform external magnetic field B is present, the operator $-\Delta$ in H is replaced by

$$|i\nabla + A(x)|^2 + \sigma \cdot B(x),$$

with $\text{curl } A = B$ and σ denoting the Pauli spin matrices. This leads to a modified TF theory that is asymptotically exact as $Z \rightarrow \infty$, but the theory depends on the manner in which B varies with Z . There are five distinct regimes and theories: $B \ll Z^{4/3}$, $B \sim Z^{4/3}$, $Z^{4/3} \ll B \ll Z^3$, $B \sim Z^3$, $B \gg Z^3$. These theories [LSY1], [LSY2] are relevant for neutron stars. Another class of TF theories with magnetic fields is relevant for electrons confined to two-dimensional geometries (quantum dots) [LSY3]. In this case there are three regimes. A convenient review is [LSY4].

Still another modification of TF theory is its extension from a theory of the ground states of atoms and molecules (which corresponds to zero temperature) to a theory of positive temperature states of large systems such as stars (cf. [JM], [WT]).

References

- [BL] BENGURIA, R. AND LIEB, E.H.: ‘The positivity of the pressure in Thomas-Fermi theory’, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **63** (1978), 193-218. *Errata* **71**, (1980), 94.
- [EF] FERMI, E.: ‘Un metodo statistico per la determinazione di alcune prioriet  dell’atome’, *Rend. Accad. Naz. Lincei* **6** (1927), 602-607.
- [EL] LIEB, E.H.: ‘Thomas-Fermi and related theories of atoms and molecules’, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **53** (1981), 603-641. *Errata* **54** (1982), 311.
- [LO] LIEB, E.H. AND OXFORD, S.: ‘An improved lower bound on the indirect coulomb energy’, *Int. J. Quant. Chem.* **19** (1981), 427-439.
- [LS] LIEB, E.H. AND SIMON, B.: ‘The Thomas-Fermi theory of atoms, molecules and solids’, *Adv. in Math* **23** (1977), 22-116.
- [LSY1] LIEB, E.H., SOLOVEJ, J.P., AND YNGVASON, J.: ‘Asymptotics of heavy atoms in high magnetic fields: I. lowest Landau band region’, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **47** (1994), 513-591.
- [LSY2] LIEB, E.H., SOLOVEJ, J.P., AND YNGVASON, J.: ‘Asymptotics of heavy atoms in high magnetic fields: II. semiclassical regions’, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **161** (1994), 77-124.
- [LSY3] LIEB, E.H., SOLOVEJ, J.P., AND YNGVASON, J.: ‘Ground states of large quantum dots in magnetic fields’, *Phys. Rev. B* **51** (1995) 10646-10665.
- [LSY4] LIEB, E.H., SOLOVEJ, J.P., AND YNGVASON, J.: ‘Asymptotics of natural and artificial atoms in strong magnetic fields, in W. THIRRING (ed.): *The stability of matter: from atoms to stars, selecta of E. H. Lieb*, second edition, Springer, 1997, pp. 145-167.
- [JM] MESSER, J.: ‘Temperature dependent Thomas-Fermi theory’: Vol. 147 of *Lecture Notes in Physics*, Springer, 1981.
- [ET] TELLER, E.: ‘On the stability of molecules in Thomas-Fermi theory’, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **34** (1962), 627-631.
- [LT] LIEB, E.H. AND THIRRING W.: ‘Inequalities for the moments of the eigenvalues of the Schr dinger Hamiltonian and their relation to Sobolev inequalities’, in E. LIEB, B. SIMON, A. WIGHTMAN (eds.): ‘*Studies in Mathematical Physics*’, Princeton University Press, 1976, pp. 269-303.
- [WT] THIRRING, W.: ‘A course in mathematical physics’: Vol. 4, Springer, 1983, pp. 209-277.
- [TH] THOMAS, L.H.: ‘The calculation of atomic fields’, *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **23** (1927), 542-548.

Elliott H. Lieb
Departments of Mathematics and Physics
Princeton University

 1998 by Elliott H. Lieb